



The Winning Formula

Learn in the laboratory – explore and confirm in the field!

Professor Ole Pedersen at University of Copenhagen is a very experienced microsensor user with a long track record of published articles where Unisense microsensors have been a key technology. Ole has travelled the world with microsensors and applied them in seagrass beds in the Caribbean Sea, rice paddies in the Philippines and peat swamps in Australia just to mention a few exotic research locations. A number of Ole's publications are based on initiating microsensor studies in the laboratory and subsequently taking the measurements to the field, a strategy that he calls "the winning formula". Unisense invited Ole for a talk about microsensor research and the so-called winning formula.

Ole's important take-home messages:

- Include in situ measurements – it is a winning formula
- Learn your system in the laboratory before going in situ
- Choose the right size of sensor to answer your question
- Avoid breaking sensors in a wobbly experimental setup

What are the typical challenges you meet when setting up a microsensor experiment?

It's all about stability and ease of access! Stability – no unsteady tables or shaky tanks and containers – is the main thing in any microsensor set-up. I use a lot of time to ensure that the pots, the cores or the trays where I have my specimens are well fixed, i.e. to the table or the bottom of the tank. Then, I fix all cables, and also the leaves if working with plants, to avoid that I break a sensor already in the process of mounting it in the micromanipulator... My laboratory set-up's look very "clean"!

What were your initial reasons for going into the field?

Curiosity! I started with laboratory measurements in seagrasses and got fantastic data. But the uncertainty of whether the observed phenomena also occurred in the field situation was there – so I had to go and see.

"...the uncertainty of whether the observed phenomena also occurred in the field situation was there – so I had to go and see."

Prof. Ole Pedersen

What would you state as the greatest advantages of starting the experiment in the laboratory and then proceed into the field?

You know exactly what you are looking for! I strongly prefer to identify a mechanism in the lab where I can control all the environmental parameters such as temperature, light, flow – and stability of the set-up. The interesting part for me and the readers of the scientific papers is then to go and show that this mechanism also operate in the field situation regardless of fluctuations in all of the above environmental parameters.



O₂

N₂O

H₂S

NO

H₂

pH

Redox

Temp

EP

Then, what is the greatest challenge you have experienced working in the field?

Working in a peat swamp in South West Australia was probably the greatest challenge! The water was shallow so I didn't have to SCUBA dive but the peat swamp was incredibly unstable. Walking 5 m away from the set-up would cause the entire set-up with micromanipulators and sensors to move. I had to float around and never touch the bottom in order to get the sensors in place.

"Research in the field is challenging but the reward is immense!"

Prof. Ole Pedersen



Prof. Ole Pedersen is positioning the sensor tip in the root cortex of the rice plant. Photo: Timothy D. Colmer

Could you give examples of when combining field and laboratory measurements were of a particular advantage?

Working with submerged rice – or seagrasses – in the field situation has provided new insight into internal aeration of plant tissues. Our previous laboratory measurements have not been able to provide real knowledge of the importance of simultaneous changes in light, temperature and water flow and how these parameters all affected the oxygen status of the tissues. The exciting data on the following pages of this flyer speaks for itself and I hope it will stimulate other research groups to try and combine laboratory and field measurements regardless of the topic they are working on.

Why does in situ data impress reviewers? Why is it so persuading?

Field measurements are impressive to reviewers and readers because they already know how hard it is to do it in the controlled laboratory situation. Even in the laboratory it is difficult enough to position a microsensors in the tissue right where it is needed. Research in the field is challenging but the reward is immense!

A standard question we get from new customers is how often sensors break during experiments. What is your experience?

I rarely break a sensor during measurements! Sensors break during handling i.e. when removing it from its protective casing, mounting it in the micromanipulator or inserting it into the tissue. Once the set-up is up and running, the microsensors rarely break – unless the set-up is unstable or macrofauna is attracted to the set-up and starts fiddling with it. The bull sharks in Florida Bay completely wrecked a set-up.

Any good advice to new microsensors users?

Patience! It takes a while before you have achieved the necessary skills. But once you feel comfortable with the data you get, it is so rewarding to get insight into processes and mechanisms that nobody has studied before.



Ph.D Anders Winkel is harvesting the rice plants. Photo: Anja H. Fløytrup




Studying the effects of leaf gas film using microsensors

Flooding of vegetation introduces a number of challenges to the plants. Reduced oxygen solubility combined with slower diffusion of gases restricts photosynthesis under water as O₂ and CO₂ exchange between the plant and the environment is limited. Some plants have developed smart ways to survive flooding. The referred two articles focus on the function of leaf gas film formed on the superhydrophobic leaf.

There has been suggestions of improved CO₂ uptake during submergence of plants with leaf gas films; however, the function of leaf gas films is not well-understood. In Pedersen et al. (2009) this function is investigated by setting up laboratory experiments and in Winkel et al. (2013) the studies are repeated in paddy field rice in the Philippines. This research summary will focus on the data the researchers obtained using microsensors in laboratory and in situ experiments.

Laboratory Setup

The dynamics of root pO₂ in light and darkness were investigated using O₂ microsensors. Four-week-old plants (*Oryza sativa* L.) were kept in a chamber that allowed for separate medium for root and shoot of the plant. The roots were incubated in deoxygenated medium, whereas the shoots were incubated in a medium containing 200mmol m⁻³ free CO₂ and O₂ in air equilibrium. The chamber was covered with PVC foil to prevent possible contact between leaves and air. This setup mimicked in situ conditions and allowed for studying the internal aeration of the plant. Unisense O₂ microsensors with a tip diameter of 25 μm were connected to a Unisense amplifier, mounted on a micromanipulator and positioned into the root cortex. The effect of leaf gas film was studied by measuring root pO₂ before and after brushing the leaves with a diluted Triton-X-100 solution, to remove the gas film.



Laboratory setup with double chamber, the sensor is positioned into root cortex. Photo: Ole Pedersen

A. Winkel, T. D. Colmer, A. M. Ismail, and O. Pedersen
Internal aeration of paddy field rice (*Oryza sativa*) during complete submergence - importance of light and floodwater O₂. *New Phytol* 197 (4):1193-1203, 2013.

O. Pedersen, S. M. Rich, and T. D. Colmer.
Surviving floods: leaf gas films improve O₂ and CO₂ exchange, root aeration, and growth of completely submerged rice. *The Plant Journal* 58 (1):147-156, 2009.

Field Setup

Root pO₂ of paddy field rice was measured during two days of complete submergence. Four-week-old rice plants were planted into a paddy field. Roots were exposed and the microsensors were placed 200 μm into the root tissue. Hereafter both root and microsensor were covered with soil, placing the sensor tip approx. 4 cm below soil surface. To investigate the function of the leaf gas film, leaves of selected plants had their gas film removed 3 hours prior to flooding. Light status was monitored by a weather station placed approx. 440 m from the paddy field.



Brushing leaves with a diluted Triton-X-100 solution to remove leaf gas film. Photo: Ole Pedersen



Conclusion on lab and field data

Leaf gas films are hypothesized to improve internal aeration of the plant during the day, as leaf gas films enhance CO₂ uptake and thereby promote photosynthesis. This is seen as higher root pO₂ in plants with intact gas film compared to plants without. The two studies described in this flyer investigate gas film based on laboratory experiments and experiments in the field.

In the laboratory, root pO₂ increase in light periods in submerged plants, probably due to reduced outward diffusion of photosynthetically produced O₂. Removal of gas film resulted in a decrease in root pO₂ to just below the initial level (see fig 1A). This can be explained by decreased O₂ production by photosynthesis as a result of impeded CO₂ entry. In darkness, root pO₂ rapidly decreased to 25% (see fig. 1B) of when submerged in light and declined to close to zero when the gas film was removed.




Fig. 1 Root pO₂ measured in light (A) or darkness (B) before and after submerge and with or without removal of gas film. Figure adapted from Pedersen et al 2009.




Fig. 2. (A) surface light was measured approx. 440 m from field location using a weather station. (B) Root pO₂ measured in completely submerged plants with or without gas film. Adapted from Winkel et al. 2013.

In the field, plants with intact leaf gas films had higher daytime root pO₂ compared to plants without leaf gas film during the first day of measurements (fig. 2B), supporting laboratory data. The difference in root pO₂ of plants with or without leaf gas film was, however, not significant on the second day (data not shown), suggesting that the leaf gas film had either been reestablished or that emerging new leaves with intact gas film had masked the effect of the gas film removal on the leaves from the day before.

Although data obtained in the field were only significant on the first of day of measurement, the in situ data support the findings obtained in the laboratory experiments by showing a positive correlation between leaf gas film and increased root pO₂. Combining laboratory and in situ measurements thus contributed to understanding how leaf gas films help the rice plant survive flooding.

Recommended Unisense Products

	LABORATORY PRODUCTS	FIELD PRODUCTS
SENSOR	O ₂ , H ₂ S, H ₂ , NO, N ₂ O, pH, Redox Temperature Oxygen MicroOptode	O ₂ , H ₂ S, H ₂ , NO, N ₂ O, pH, Redox Temperature Oxygen MicroOptode
AMPLIFIER	fx-6 UniAmp Opto-F1/Opto-F4 UniAmp	Field Microsensor Multimeter UnderWater Meter
SYSTEMS	MicroProfiling System MicroRespiration System	Field MicroProfiling System MiniProfiler MP4/8 Eddy Correlation System
SOFTWARE	SensorTrace Suite	SensorTrace Suite